

# CAL POLY HUMBOLDT

August 10, 2023

To All Prospective Bidders

SUBJECT: RFP #PW23-3, Health, Dining and Housing Building Project, Project PLY106

## Addendum #2

The following changes, omissions and/or additions to the Bidding Documents shall apply to proposals made for and to the execution of the various parts of the work affected thereby and all other conditions shall remain the same. In case of conflict between Bidding Documents and this Addendum, this Addendum shall govern.

### 1. RFP Cost Proposal Opening.

RFP Cost Proposal Opening date has not changed and remains Tuesday, September 19, 2023, at 3:00 p.m.

### 2. Questions and Answers

#### Questions and Answers

Q1. Requesting clarification regarding the Health Program: 1. Please advise if the Health Program falls under CSU plan check, permitting and inspection jurisdiction. 2. Has the Owner retained a Licensing / Regulatory Compliance Consultant? If so, it is assumed this cost will NOT be part of the Design Fee. Please confirm.

**Answer: Yes, the Health Program is under CSU's jurisdiction. No, the University has not retained a Licensing / Regulatory Compliance Consultant, and does not intend to. The Proposer will need to determine if these services are necessary, and budget accordingly.**

Q2. The programming feasibility report was issued during the RFQ and was developed based on the initial site location, later noting that we'd use the alternate location. Will this report be updated to reflect the change to the site in the RFP?

**Answer: The Programming & Feasibility Reports will not be modified beyond that already provided.**

Q3. Please confirm sustainability goals for Jenkins Hall and specify the targeted level, if applicable.

**Answer: See Final RFP, and Addendum 1, Q16.**

- Q4. Is the 14-day University review inclusive of trade shops and maintenance for SD, DD, and CD phases? Please clarify their roles in this process.  
**Answer: Yes. Their role is to provide guidance to the CDB Team in their particular area of expertise and interest.**
- Q5. Please specify the desired number of adverse weather days the University expects DB contractors to consider in their planning and scheduling efforts.  
**Answer: The number of adverse weather days to be included in the schedule is to be negotiated during the Final GMP. In the past, the University has estimated 25 work days per winter season.**
- Q6. Do the 60 FFE days include the University's dining and food services "burn-in"? If not, what is the duration of this burn-in period?  
**Answer: This level of coordination with the food service vendor is not yet known.**
- Q7. Is an arborist report available for the project? Please confirm if any heritage trees are present on-site that require preservation.  
**Answer: No. There are no "heritage trees" that require preservation; however, the University looks forward to exploring options that preserve these resources while keeping other project constraints/opportunities in balance.**
- Q8. There are parking spaces adjacent to the existing ceramics and sculpture labs. Please confirm that no additional parking spaces are required at Jenkins Hall. Exhibit P1 does not show additional parking spaces.  
**Answer: Exhibit P.1 does indicate an accessible parking space being provided.**
- Q9. Contract General Conditions Article 36.15.c summarizes "Adjustments of Contract Time Due to Acts of God" but does not specifically include earthquakes. For the purposes of this section, please specify whether earthquakes are considered an "act of god".  
**Answer: It's possible an earthquake could rise to a level of consequence to create a delay, and be considered an "act of god."**
- Q10. Is a Feasibility Study required to be submitted and approved prior to the start of the SD phase?  
**Answer: No.**
- Q11. Is the University implementing the MOU between CAL Fire and the BOT DCFM Program for this project? If so, is there a 'Bin' timeframe to consider similar to the OSFM review process?  
**Answer: No. Also see Addendum 1, Q12.**
- Q12. Upon reviewing the Design Fee Allocation Matrix (Table B), there are no owner allowances included. Will the university provide allowances for various scopes (for example: food service, medical equipment, furniture, etc.)?  
**Answer: No Trustees' Allowances will be established at this time. During Phase 1 and by**

**incorporation into the Phase 2 agreement, Trustees' Allowances may be established. See RFP Sections 6.31 and 6.32 as well as the Contract General Conditions for reference.**

Q13. Is there a defined timeline for BOD approval for the Jenkins Hall Scope of Work?

**Answer: No.**

Q14. What are the parking requirements for the new HDH building? Please clarify whether the DBE is only responsible for accessible parking, ambulance drop off, and deliveries. If staff or residence parking is required, please provide the number of stalls.

**Answer: Specific parking counts have not been established. Through the CDB process, the design choice will necessarily need to explore and address solutions for accessible parking, vehicular circulation, and opportunities for various parking configurations.**

Q15. Please confirm that Design Contingency is considered a part of the Direct Cost of work.

**Answer: Confirmed.**

Q16. Will the University allow DBEs to provide responses to both sections within Tab 4 (4A and 4B) within the full 8-page limit, rather than being restricted to four pages per section?

**Answer: At this stage of the solicitation, the four pages per section limit will remain in fairness to other Proposers who have already structured their response accordingly.**

-END OF ADDENDUM-

Contracts & Procurement

Addie Dunaway  
Procurement Specialist