

CAL POLY HUMBOLDT

July 6, 2023

To All Prospective Bidders

SUBJECT: RFQ #PW23-3, Health, Dining and Housing, Project PLY106

Addendum #1

The following changes, omissions and/or additions to the Bidding Documents shall apply to proposals made for and to the execution of the various parts of the work affected thereby and all other conditions shall remain the same. In case of conflict between Bidding Documents and this Addendum, this Addendum shall govern.

RFQ Submittal Due Date.

RFQ Statement of Qualifications Submittal due date has not been changed and remains Thursday, ~~July 6~~ July 13, 2023 by 3:00 p.m.

Questions and Answers.

- Q1. RFQ: Section 2 page 2; Attachment 1A: Section 1.3 page 4; and Attachment 1B: Section 8.1 page 2:

RFQ: 518 beds - includes a mix of units (doubles and single)

1A: 650 beds - includes a mix of units (doubles, double suites, and single)

1B: 276 beds - includes 240 Doubles, 24 Singles and 12 Resident Assistant (RA) Units

Please confirm the bed count in the 1B Alternate Site Study supersedes bed count listed in the 1A Feasibility Study and RFQ.

Answer: The bed counts in the RFQ and Attachment 1B are consistent and should be considered the current scope for the purpose of this RFQ, 518 beds. Note the statement above that Attachment 1B states "276 beds" is an incorrect interpretation.

- Q2. Attachment 1A: Section 1 page 4, page 92; Attachment 1B: Section 8.0 page 2: Confirm site location in the 1B Alternate Site Study, the Foundry Site, supersedes the site indicated in the 1A Feasibility Study or if teams should be considering both sites

Answer: Foundry Site is correct.

- Q3. Attachment 1B: Section 8.3 page 9: Confirm the Campus Apartments located within the 1B Alternate Site Study site should be demolished as part of project scope.

Answer: As currently envisioned, demolition of the Campus Apartments is not within the project scope. They will continue to remain operational.

Q4. Has a geotechnical report been prepared for the project, and if so, can a copy be provided?

Answer: Yes, it will be distributed with the RFP.

Q5. Has a seismic study been performed for Jenkins Hall, and if so, can a copy be provided?

Answer: Yes, it will be distributed with the RFP.

Q6. Some of the drawings and views in the alternate site study appear to show the adjacent existing campus apartments being demolished. Is demolition of these units included in the scope of this project, and if so, will the apartments be demolished prior to construction of the HDH facility?

Answer: Please see answer to Q3.

Q7. Has a hazardous materials survey been conducted for the buildings that will be demolished, and if so, can a copy be provided?

Answer: Yes, they will be distributed with the RFP.

Q8. Are key subcontractors, such as mechanical, electrical, and plumbing, allowed to be selected and submitted as part of a DBE's team, or do CSU CDB procurement rules require these trade partners to be selected in partnership with the campus after award of a DBE?

Answer: Please see CSU's CPDC guidance provided in [Design-Assist and Design-Build Subcontracting](#) dated March 2017. This document will also be included in the RFP.

Q9. For Element 1, will equipment from the existing ceramics and sculpture labs be relocated and incorporated into the Jenkins Hall renovations?

Answer: Some equipment may be relocated into Jenkins Hall. The extent of which has not been determined.

- Q10. During demolition of Element 2, existing on campus parking will be eliminated. Is the Design-Builder responsible for replacing the number of eliminated parking spaces in the Element 2 program?

Answer: Replacement of the eliminated parking spaces is not included in the program. This is not to say that the project will not provide any parking.

- Q11. Please provide a list of Group 2 equipment and OFCI (owner furnished contractor installed) equipment for Elements 1 and 2.

Answer: This will be determined during the Phase 1 design process.

- Q12. Does the University for Element 1 have a hazardous material and/or abatement report for Jenkins Hall and Ceramic Buildings that can be shared? Will the Design-Builder Team or University handle abatement scope?

Answer: Please see answer to Q7. Hazardous material abatement will be within the Contractor's scope.

- Q13. For Element 2, is there a soils and /or geotechnical report for the site?

Answer: Please see answer to Q4.

- Q14. Will the project require OSHPD 3 permit review?

Answer: This has yet to be determined.

- Q15. Does the University have designated parking areas for contractors, and/or will lot G11 be available throughout Element 2 duration? Does the University have any designated area or offices for Design-Builder team onsite?

Answer: The University does not have a designated parking area for contractors. Lot G11 should remain operational during the project. For the purposes of this solicitation the Proposer should consider providing their own facilities.

Q16. What are the working hours? Does the University have any academic calendar and/or event calendar which needs to be worked around? I.E. finals week, graduation, student move-in, etc.?

Answer: Normal work hours are 7:00 AM to 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday. Typical of any university campus, there are campus events/schedules that will need to be accommodated.

Q17. For Element 1, please confirm a seismic retrofit of Jenkins Hall will not be required as part of the Design-Builders scope of work.

Answer: Seismic retrofit of Jenkins Hall is required.

Contracts & Procurement

Addie Dunaway
Procurement Specialist